Academic Education Publishing House

*Economic Society and Humanities Vol. 1 No. 6, 2024*

# Research on the Civil Aviation Regulatory Audit Indicator System and Evaluation Methods

**Weichun Li[1], Zhenzhong Zhang[1], Yu Wang[2]**

*[1]CAAC Academy, Civil Aviation Flight University of China, Guanghan, Sichuan, China*

*[2]School of Economics and Management, Civil Aviation Flight University of China, Guanghan, Sichuan, China*

**Abstract: With the advancement of globalization, the civil aviation industry is increasingly prominent in promoting economic development and facilitating travel. However, it also faces significant challenges in ensuring the safety of air transport. This study analyzes the current research and theoretical foundations of civil aviation safety regulation both domestically and internationally. It explores the principles and methods for constructing a scientific and practical regulatory audit indicator system, covering various aspects including safety operation trends and regulatory efficacy analysis. It also proposes specific steps for quantification and standardization. The research includes evaluation methods that incorporate both quantitative and qualitative analyses and suggests improvements such as optimizing inspection task allocation and enhancing the quality of inspections. This study aims to provide effective assessment tools for civil aviation regulatory authorities to enhance regulatory efficacy, ensure aviation safety, and promote the sustainable development of the civil aviation system.**

**Keywords: Civil Aviation Regulatory Audit; Indicator System; Evaluation Methods; Aviation Safety**

## 1. Introduction

As globalization progresses, the civil aviation industry has become a vital link connecting various parts of the world, not only promoting economic prosperity and development but also providing convenient travel options for people. However, the rapid development of the civil aviation industry also presents numerous challenges, the most critical of which is ensuring the safety of air transport. Aviation safety is a concern highly prioritized by governments and the public worldwide and is a core task that airlines and related departments must vigilantly maintain. Faced with a complex operational environment and emerging new risks, establishing and improving the civil aviation regulatory audit indicator system and evaluation methods has become an essential approach to enhancing the level of aviation safety management.

As globalization progresses, the civil aviation industry has become a vital link connecting various parts of the world, not only promoting economic prosperity and development but also providing convenient travel options for people. However, the rapid development of the civil aviation industry also presents numerous challenges, the most critical of which is ensuring the safety of air transport. Aviation safety is a concern highly prioritized by governments and the public worldwide and is a core task that airlines and related departments must vigilantly maintain. Faced with a complex operational environment and emerging new risks, establishing and improving the civil aviation regulatory audit indicator system and evaluation methods has become an essential approach to enhancing the level of aviation safety management.

This study aims to review and analyze the existing civil aviation regulatory audit indicator systems and, combining practical operation data, to explore and establish a set of indicators suitable for the current development of civil aviation. This system will help regulatory authorities more accurately identify potential risks, promptly detect and correct safety hazards, thereby ensuring the safety and stability of air transport. Furthermore, through scientific evaluation methods, the effectiveness of regulatory work can be effectively assessed, guiding the adjustment and optimization of regulatory strategies to achieve sustainable development of the civil aviation system.

## 2. Literature Review

### 2.1 Current Research Status at Home and Abroad

Civil aviation regulatory audit, as a crucial component of ensuring aviation safety, has always been a focus of both the academic and practical fields. In recent years, with the rapid development of the global air transport industry, research on civil aviation safety regulation has deepened. Foreign scholars mainly focus on the construction of aviation safety culture [1], the application of risk management techniques and methods [2], and the analysis of aviation accident investigations [3]. Domestic research pays more attention to establishing a regulatory system suitable for local conditions and exploring efficient regulatory models [4].

In terms of building regulatory audit indicator systems, existing studies have proposed various frameworks covering safety management, operational efficiency, and service quality among other dimensions. However, these indicator systems often lack unified standards and have certain limitations in practical application. Some studies have tried to incorporate advanced information technologies such as big data analytics and artificial intelligence to enhance the effectiveness of regulatory audits, but the application of these technologies is still in its early stages, and their widespread adoption in civil aviation faces many challenges.

### 2.2 Theoretical Foundations

The core of civil aviation regulatory auditing lies in conducting comprehensive reviews of an airline's various business activities through a series of scientific methods and tools to ensure compliance with relevant laws, regulations, and standards. This process involves multiple areas of theoretical knowledge, including but not limited to quality management theory [5], risk management theory [6], and systems engineering theory [7]. Among them, the "Four Elements and Twelve Points" analysis framework is widely used in civil aviation regulatory audits. This framework helps to identify issues more precisely and take targeted measures for improvement by breaking down the regulatory work into several specific elements and points.

### 2.3 Development History of Civil Aviation Regulatory Auditing

Since the end of the last century, as the scale of the aviation industry has continued to expand, civil aviation regulatory auditing has evolved from non-existent to extensive and from extensive to refined. Early regulatory audits focused on reviewing the basic qualifications of airlines. As the industry developed, the content of audits gradually expanded to include safety management, service quality, and economic efficiency. In the 21st century, especially after the "9·11" attacks, the global emphasis on aviation safety reached an unprecedented level, which also ushered in a new stage of development for civil aviation regulatory audits. Countries around the world have strengthened the regulation of airlines, striving to reduce the risk of aviation accidents through strict audit procedures.

In recent years, with the application of emerging technologies such as big data and cloud computing, civil aviation regulatory auditing is experiencing a technological revolution. Using these advanced technologies not only allows for the rapid processing and analysis of massive amounts of data but also enables the prediction of potential safety hazards through simulation, providing strong support for formulating more effective regulatory policies. Nonetheless, balancing the convenience brought by technological progress with information security remains a key issue to focus on in the current and future period.

In summary, although significant achievements have been made in research on civil aviation regulatory auditing at home and abroad, there is still considerable room for development in constructing an indicator system that meets the needs of the new era. This study attempts to explore a path suitable for national conditions based on previous research and the characteristics of China's civil aviation industry, contributing wisdom to enhance the level of civil aviation safety management.

## 3. Construction of the Civil Aviation Regulatory Audit Indicator System

### 3.1 Principles and Methods for Indicator Selection

When constructing the civil aviation regulatory audit indicator system, it is necessary to follow

principles of scientific validity, comprehensiveness, practicality, and operability. Scientific validity requires that the selection of indicators be based on theoretical foundations and accurately reflect the operational state of the civil aviation system; comprehensiveness emphasizes that the indicator system should cover all key areas of civil aviation regulation; practicality means that indicators should be easy to obtain and effectively guide regulatory practice; and operability requires that indicators be easy to understand and implement. The method for selecting indicators mainly includes the following steps:

(1) Define core objectives: According to the main responsibilities and goals of civil aviation regulatory work, determine the key areas to be measured.

(2) Literature review and expert consultation: Collect relevant information for constructing the indicator system by consulting literature and industry experts.

(3) Preliminary screening: Based on the information collected, preliminarily select candidate indicators closely related to regulatory work.

(4) Verification and adjustment: Test the validity of candidate indicators using actual data and adjust them based on feedback results.

### 3.2 Design of the Indicator System Structure

The design of the civil aviation regulatory audit indicator system should cover the following main aspects:

(1) Safety Operation Status: By analyzing the statistics of aircraft incidents caused by responsibility in various regions and issues found during administrative inspections, calculate the proportion of problems discovered in each jurisdiction relative to the total industry, and compare it with the statistics of unsafe incidents caused by responsibility in the same jurisdiction to assess the effectiveness of regulatory work. Additionally, it is necessary to monitor the number of responsibility-related unsafe incidents and inspection tasks over the past 13 months to enable long-term monitoring.

(2) Regulatory Efficacy Analysis (Administrative Bureau Section): Analyze the organizational situation of the administrative bureau's regulatory work, including the volume of inspection tasks, number of problems discovered, and the completion rate of enterprises' timely rectification; assess the targeted level of regulatory work by identifying key issues through the "Four Elements and Twelve Points" analysis framework; examine the handling of issues found, such as the time taken for document circulation and administrative penalties.

(3) Regulatory Efficacy Analysis (Regulatory Specialty Section): From a professional perspective, analyze the organization of regulatory work, such as the volume of inspection tasks, per capita inspection volume, problem discovery rate, etc.; examine the targeted level of regulatory work, including the inspection coverage rate and zero problem discovery rate of frequently occurring issues across the industry (SID); also focus on other important indicators such as the completion rate of timely rectifications by enterprises and the proportion of inspectors with more than five years of experience involved in inspection tasks.

### 3.3 Quantification and Standardization of Indicators

To ensure the objectivity and fairness of the indicator system, it is necessary to quantify the indicators and establish uniform evaluation standards. Common quantification methods include:

(1) Proportion calculation: Calculate the proportion of problems discovered in each jurisdiction relative to the total industry to measure the effectiveness of regulation in different regions.

(2) Trend analysis: By comparing the trends of discovered and occurred problems, assess whether the allocation of regulatory resources is reasonable and whether regulatory measures are effective.

(3) Standardized scoring: Convert different types of inspection task volumes, problem discovery rates, and other indicators into standardized scores to facilitate horizontal comparison and longitudinal tracking.

By following these steps, the civil aviation regulatory audit indicator system constructed can provide comprehensive tools for assessing the effectiveness of regulatory work, helping regulatory authorities better identify issues, adjust regulatory strategies, and thus enhance the safety and efficiency of the entire civil

aviation system.

## 4. Research on Evaluation Methods

In civil aviation regulatory auditing, the selection of evaluation methods is crucial as it directly affects the accuracy of assessing the effectiveness of regulatory work and guides improvements. This section will discuss three aspects: quantitative analysis methods, qualitative analysis methods, and integrated evaluation models.

### 4.1 Quantitative Analysis Methods

Quantitative analysis methods use mathematical and statistical tools to quantify indicators within regulatory activities, producing specific numerical results. These methods help us understand the performance of regulatory work in different regions and time periods, providing data support for decision-making. Common quantitative analysis methods include, but are not limited to:

(1) Statistical methods: Utilizing principles of statistics to process data such as the number of unsafe events and inspection workload, calculating the proportion of issues found in each jurisdiction relative to the total industry, monitoring the safety operation situation in each region.

(2) Trend analysis: Analyzing the trends of issues identified and incidents occurring, using the proportion of issues found and the proportion of actual unsafe events in each jurisdiction relative to the industry, and their difference, to reflect whether the regulatory efforts are reasonable and effective.

(3) Data analysis: Analyzing data on the number of unsafe events and inspection workload over the past 13 months to assess the effectiveness of regulatory work.

### 4.2 Qualitative Analysis Methods

Qualitative analysis methods focus on exploring non-quantifiable factors within regulatory activities, revealing underlying reasons and understanding the complexities and deeper issues in regulation. Common methods include:

(1) "Four Elements and Twelve Points" analysis framework: A systematic analysis tool that categorizes identified issues, tracks trends in issues found, and highlights key and recurring problems, facilitating the analysis and adjustment of regulatory focuses and inspection plans by regulatory bodies.

(2) Case studies: Selecting specific cases to deeply understand the implementation process of regulatory activities and their effects, extracting lessons or experiences that can be learned from detailed descriptions and analyses.

(3) Expert interviews: Engaging with industry experts to gather professional opinions and suggestions about the effectiveness of regulatory activities, providing additional perspectives for evaluation methods.

### 4.3 Integrated Evaluation Model

(1) To comprehensively evaluate the effectiveness of regulatory work, it is necessary to combine quantitative and qualitative analyses to construct an integrated evaluation model. This model can balance the quantity and quality of regulatory activities, ensuring the comprehensiveness and accuracy of the evaluation results. Specifically, an integrated evaluation model may include the following aspects:

(2) Multi-indicator comparison: Using multiple indicators to display the state of regulatory effectiveness, such as inspection workload, number of issues found, and the rate at which companies complete rectifications on time, to comprehensively reflect the organization of regulatory work.

(3) Weight setting: Assigning weights according to the importance of different indicators to ensure that each indicator's role in the evaluation system is rational, avoiding biases due to single indicators.

(4) Dynamic adjustment: Considering the dynamic changes in regulatory work, the evaluation model should be flexible, able to adjust indicators and their weights as regulatory work progresses.

(5) Composite scoring: Calculating a total score based on the quantitative scores of each indicator and the results of qualitative analysis, serving as an overall assessment of the effectiveness of regulatory work.

Through the research of these evaluation methods, we can more accurately assess the effectiveness of civil aviation regulatory work and provide a scientific basis for further improvements. Moreover, by continuously practicing and receiving feedback, the evaluation methods can be refined to better

match the actual needs of civil aviation regulation.

## 5. Issues and Strategies

### 5.1 Current Main Issues

In the current civil aviation regulatory audit process, a series of issues directly affect the efficiency and effectiveness of regulatory work. Here are some main issues identified based on current practices:

(1) Imbalanced inspection workload: Significant differences exist in the inspection workload among different regulatory bodies, with some being overloaded, potentially leading to dispersed regulatory efforts and compromising the quality of each inspection; while others have fewer tasks, possibly leading to wastage of regulatory resources.

(2) Fluctuations in problem detection rates: High problem detection rates in some specialties or regions, and lower rates in others, may reflect inconsistencies in inspection quality or more severe issues in certain areas.

(3) Uneven distribution of inspector experience: In inspection tasks, an excessively high or low proportion of inspectors with over five years of experience could prevent new inspectors from gaining experience or affect the quality of inspections due to lack of experience.

(4) Insufficient inspection coverage: The coverage rate of inspections for frequent industry-wide issues is less than ideal, especially for high-incidence problems, which may affect the targeted nature of regulatory work.

(5) Zero problem detection rate situations: Some Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) not detecting issues within a certain period may indicate that the regulatory plan is not comprehensive enough or that inspections are not thorough enough.

(6) Low compliance rate for timely rectification by companies: Some companies failing to complete rectifications on time reflects either insufficient implementation in corrective actions or issues with the rectification plans themselves.

### 5.2 Suggested Improvement Measures

To address the issues mentioned, the following improvement measures can be considered:

(1) Optimize inspection task distribution: Through scientifically reasonable planning, balance the inspection workload among various regulatory bodies to ensure effective use of regulatory resources. Advanced task allocation algorithms can be introduced to arrange inspection tasks based on the operational characteristics and risk levels of each region.

(2) Improve inspection quality: Strengthen training for inspectors to enhance their professional skills and judgment, ensuring each inspection meets the expected quality standards. Encourage experience sharing among inspectors to share best practices.

(3) Reasonably allocate inspectors: Adjust the composition of inspectors under the premise of smoothly completing inspection tasks, allowing new and experienced inspectors to learn from each other and grow together. A rotation system can be implemented to give new inspectors opportunities to participate in various types of tasks and accumulate practical experience.

(4) Enhance the target specificity of inspections: Strengthen research on frequent industry-wide issues, increase the inspection coverage of these SOPs, and ensure timely detection and resolution of problems. A specialized database can be established to track the frequency and trends of various issues.

(5) Deepen the depth of inspections: For SOPs with a zero problem detection rate, re-examine the inspection processes and methods to ensure no important inspection items are missed. Introduce third-party audits or peer review mechanisms to oversee the inspection process.

(6) Strengthen the implementation of rectifications: Enhance the regulatory intensity on companies to ensure they complete rectification tasks on time and with quality. Regular follow-ups and reviews can be conducted to monitor the progress of rectifications and impose necessary penalties on companies that do not meet standards.

By implementing these improvement measures, the issues currently present in civil aviation regulatory auditing can be gradually resolved, enhancing the efficiency and effectiveness of regulatory work and thereby better ensuring aviation safety.

## 6. Research Summary

Through the study of the civil aviation regulatory audit indicator system and

evaluation methods, this paper systematically explores the current status and challenges of civil aviation safety regulation and proposes a series of recommendations to improve regulatory effectiveness. The study finds that civil aviation regulatory work relies on a scientific and comprehensive indicator system to assess and guide, with these indicators covering aspects such as safety operation status, the organization of regulatory work, the targeted level of regulatory efforts, and the handling of issues found. Specifically, by collecting key data such as the number of unsafe events, inspection workload, and problem detection rates, the regulatory effectiveness of various management offices and regulatory specialties can be effectively evaluated.

This research underscores the importance of regulatory work, especially through the use of quantifiable indicators to measure the effectiveness of regulatory efforts, which helps identify issues and provides a basis for subsequent improvements. Additionally, the application of the "Four Elements and Twelve Points" analysis framework aids regulatory bodies in better grasping regulatory focuses, timely adjusting inspection plans, and enhancing the target specificity of regulatory work. The study also points out that regulatory work should not only focus on explicit indicators such as inspection workload and problem detection rates but also consider implicit factors like the composition of regulatory personnel, the quality of inspection work, and the rectification status of companies.

**Acknowledgments**

This paper is supported by “the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities”: Research on Theory and Practice of Civil Aviation Regulatory Audit (ZJ2022-008); supported by “the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities”: Research on Key Technologies of Civil Aviation Regulatory Effectiveness Audit (ZHMH2022-003).

**References**

[1] Alena M, J. F G, Inmaculada S, et al. The role of empowering leadership, safety culture and safety climate in the prediction of mindful organizing in an air traffic management company. Safety Science,2023,168.

[2] Bugayko D, Ponomarenko O, Sokolova N, et al. Determining possibilities for applying theoretical principles of situational risk management in the aviation safety system. Eastern-European Journal of Enterprise Technologies,2023,6(3).

[3] Kym B, Leesa C, Marcus C. Major aviation accident investigation methodologies used by ITSA members. Safety Science,2023,168.

[4] Dai Yunjie, Shen Yanjun. Exploration of Innovative Pathways for Civil Aviation Regulatory System in the New Era. Civil Aviation Management, 2024(04):79-88.

[5] Cao Cheng. Exploration of Quality Management and Safety Management in the Civil Aviation Industry. Technology Innovation and Application, 2017(01):277.

[6] Liu Shenghao. Research on Flight Safety Risk Management of HN Airlines. Hainan University, 2023.

[7] Wang Qingyun. Evolution and Analysis of Comprehensive Transportation Theory from the Perspective of Systems Engineering. Transportation Research, 2023, 9(03):3-15+2.