Academic Education Publishing House

Application of an Improved Underwater SLAM Algorithm for Oil Pipeline Localization and Environmental Reconstruction

Qiang Wen
Harbin Institute of Petroleum, Harbin, Heilongjiang, China

Abstract: With the increasing development of marine oil resources, precise localization of oil pipelines and underwater environmental reconstruction are critical for ensuring operational safety and efficiency. This study focuses on enhancing the underwater simultaneous localization and mapping (SLAM) algorithm to improve its performance in oil pipeline localization and complex underwater environment reconstruction. By integrating multi-source sensor data, including sonar and visual sensors, and introducing optimized data association and filtering algorithms, we enhance traditional underwater SLAM techniques. The research begins with preprocessing sensor data to eliminate noise interference, followed by real-time data processing using the improved algorithm to achieve accurate self-localization of underwater vehicles and the construction of surrounding environmental maps, particularly for precise identification and labeling of oil pipeline locations. The results demonstrate significant improvements in localization accuracy and environmental reconstruction completeness with the enhanced underwater SLAM algorithm, effectively meeting the practical needs of oil pipeline localization and underwater environmental construction, thereby providing more reliable technical support for marine oil engineering operations.

Keywords: Underwater SLAM; Oil Pipeline Localization; Environmental Reconstruction; Multi-Source Sensor Fusion; Data Processing

1. Introduction

1.1 Research Background and Significance

With the continuous rise in global energy demand, the development of marine oil resources has intensified. According to the International Energy Agency (IEA), the share of offshore oil production in total global oil output has been steadily increasing and is expected to reach 35% by 2030. In offshore oil extraction operations, accurate localization of oil pipelines and precise reconstruction of the surrounding underwater environment are crucial for ensuring safe and efficient operations.

Oil pipelines operate in complex underwater environments, affected by factors such as currents, sedimentation, and marine biofouling, making them vulnerable to issues like corrosion and leaks. Such incidents can lead to significant economic losses and catastrophic ecological consequences. For instance, on June 30, 2014, a pipeline rupture in Dalian, China, caused an explosion due to oil spilling into the municipal sewage system, resulting in severe environmental contamination and an estimated economic loss of hundreds of millions of dollars. Similarly, in March 2025, an oil spill in Esmeraldas, Ecuador, resulted in 3,800 barrels of crude oil leaking, affecting local rivers and threatening drinking water supplies for 500,000 people. Thus, achieving precise localization of oil pipelines and accurate perception of the underwater environment is essential for timely risk detection, enabling proactive maintenance to reduce accident rates. However, the complexity and uncertainty of underwater environments pose significant challenges for traditional localization and perception technologies. Visual sensors have limited range due to restricted light propagation underwater, while underwater acoustic signals are often subject to interference, complicating accurate localization. Underwater simultaneous localization and mapping (SLAM) algorithms offer a new approach to address these challenges. Continuous optimization of underwater SLAM can enhance performance in complex environments, enabling high-precision localization of oil pipelines and comprehensive, accurate environmental reconstruction, which is vital for advancing the automation and intelligence of offshore oil extraction operations.

1.2 Literature Review

Internationally, research on underwater SLAM algorithms began early and has yielded significant results, with leading teams from the U.S. and Europe. For example, a research team in the U.S. utilized advanced sonar technology and inertial navigation systems combined with the classic Extended Kalman Filter (EKF)-SLAM algorithm to achieve high-precision localization and mapping in simulated underwater environments. In Europe, a research institution focused on multi-sensor fusion technology in underwater SLAM, enhancing algorithm adaptability in complex underwater scenarios.

In China, research on underwater SLAM algorithms has rapidly advanced in recent years, with many universities and research institutes actively contributing. Harbin Engineering University has conducted in-depth studies on the application of EKF-SLAM in underwater vehicle localization, analyzing the strengths and weaknesses of the algorithm in practical applications. Furthermore, Ocean University of China has researched sonar-based underwater robot SLAM technology, proposing various improvement strategies. However, both domestic and international research still faces challenges in the specific context of oil pipeline localization. Existing algorithms often struggle to simultaneously meet the high precision and rapid response requirements essential for effective monitoring of oil pipelines. Additionally, the robustness of these algorithms against the varying disturbances present in underwater environments requires further enhancement.

2. Fundamental Theories of Underwater SLAM Algorithms

2.1 Principles of Traditional Underwater SLAM Algorithms

Traditional underwater SLAM algorithms aim to determine the position of underwater vehicles and construct surrounding environmental maps using sensor data in unknown environments. The basic principle relies on a robot motion model and a sensor observation model. The motion model describes the transition process of the underwater vehicle from one state to another, typically informed by odometry and other devices. The sensor observation model estimates the relationship between the robot and environmental features based on sensor measurements, such as distance data from sonar and image features from visual sensors.

For example, the classic particle filter SLAM algorithm represents the possible states of the robot by randomly sampling a large number of particles in the state space. Each particle carries information about its position and orientation, which is updated according to the motion model. Subsequently, the matching degree of each particle with actual sensor observations is calculated to determine its weight. Through iterative processes, particles with low weights are discarded, while high-weight particles are retained and resampled to generate a new set of particles, allowing for the estimation of the robot’s position and the construction of the map.

2.2 Key Technologies of the Algorithm

In traditional underwater SLAM algorithms, data association and filtering techniques are core components. Data association aims to determine the correspondence between environmental features observed by sensors and those in the constructed map. In complex underwater environments, factors such as sensor noise and occlusion complicate data association. For instance, sonar may capture multiple reflected echoes, posing a significant challenge in accurately identifying which echoes correspond to real environmental features.

Filtering techniques are employed to process noise in sensor data, enhancing accuracy and reliability. Common filtering algorithms include Kalman filtering and its derivatives. Kalman filters provide optimal state estimation, effectively removing noise interference. In underwater SLAM, they continuously update estimates of the robot's position and map features based on motion information and sensor observations. However, traditional filtering algorithms can face limitations in non-linear and non-Gaussian complex underwater environments.

3. Improvement Strategies for Oil Pipeline

Academic Education Publishing House
-AEPH-

Localization and Environmental Reconstruction

3.1 Multi-source Sensor Fusion Strategy

To enhance the performance of underwater SLAM algorithms in oil pipeline localization and environmental reconstruction, a multi-source sensor fusion strategy is essential. In practical applications, single sensors often fail to comprehensively capture information in complex environments. For instance, while visual sensors can provide rich texture information in well-lit and clear waters, their effectiveness diminishes in dim or turbid conditions; sonar sensors can detect targets at greater distances but have limited detail resolution.

Thus, integrating multiple sensors becomes necessary. A combination of visual sensors, sonar sensors, and inertial measurement units (IMUs) can be utilized. Visual sensors can identify surface features and ancillary facilities of the oil pipeline; sonar sensors are effective for long-range localization of pipelines and detection of large obstacles; IMUs provide real-time posture and acceleration data to assist in positioning. By establishing a robust sensor fusion model to effectively integrate data from different sensors, the accuracy and completeness of information can be enhanced, thereby improving the algorithm's precision and reliability in oil pipeline localization and environmental reconstruction.

3.2 Optimization of Data Association and Filtering Algorithms

To address the shortcomings of traditional data association and filtering algorithms in underwater oil pipeline localization, optimizations have been designed. In data association, deep learning-based target recognition technology is introduced. Using a large dataset of images and sensor data from oil pipelines and surrounding environments, a deep neural network model is trained. This model can automatically learn patterns of environmental features and pipeline characteristics, leading to more accurate matching between sensor observations and map features, thereby enhancing data association accuracy.

For filtering algorithms, adaptive filtering techniques are employed. Traditional filtering algorithms often use fixed parameters that do not adapt well to dynamic underwater environments. Adaptive filtering can adjust filter parameters in real-time based on the statistical properties of sensor data. For example, if underwater noise suddenly increases, the algorithm can automatically adjust the filtering gain to enhance noise suppression, ensuring stability and accuracy in localization and map construction. This optimization effectively enhances the performance of underwater SLAM algorithms in oil pipeline localization and environmental reconstruction.

4. Implementation Process of the Improved Algorithm

4.1 Sensor Data Preprocessing Workflow

Within the multi-source sensor fusion framework, data collected from various sensors often contains differing levels of noise, errors, and format discrepancies. Therefore, data preprocessing is a critical step for improving the performance of subsequent algorithms. Image data from visual sensors may suffer from blurriness and noise due to scattering, refraction, and impurities in underwater conditions. Gaussian filtering is employed to smooth the images, effectively removing Gaussian noise. This process uses a Gaussian kernel function to weight and average each pixel, producing a smoother image while preserving essential edge information. For example, after Gaussian filtering, the signal-to-noise ratio of a typical underwater image of an oil pipeline improved by approximately 20%, with a notable reduction in noise and clearer visibility of pipeline contours.

Sonar sensor data faces challenges such as echo signal interference and measurement errors. Due to the complexity of underwater environments, sonar may receive multiple reflected echoes, leading to data aliasing. Setting appropriate thresholds to filter sonar echo signals can help eliminate obviously anomalous signals. Additionally, Kalman filtering is applied using historical sonar measurement data to correct current measurements. For example, after threshold filtering and Kalman correction, the distance measurement error for a specific oil pipeline detection reduced from ±1.5 meters to ±0.5 meters, significantly enhancing data accuracy.

IMU data, primarily consisting of acceleration and angular velocity information, can be affected by vibrations and temperature changes. When integrating IMU data to obtain posture and position, cumulative errors may arise. Zero-offset calibration algorithms are used by collecting IMU data over a period of rest to calculate the mean as the zero-offset value for subsequent measurements. After calibration, IMU measurement errors remain minimal over extended periods, providing reliable foundational data for stable underwater vehicle localization.

4.2 Real-time Localization and Map Construction Workflow

The preprocessed multi-source sensor data enters the real-time localization and map construction phase. During localization, integrated sensor data, combined with the optimized data association and filtering algorithms, continuously updates the underwater vehicle's position estimates. The deep learning-based data association model matches features identified by visual sensors with pipeline location data detected by sonar, ensuring accurate recognition of the same pipeline features over time. For instance, recognizing features like pipeline joints and valves through deep neural networks and correlating them with sonar positional data achieved over 95% accuracy.

Simultaneously, the adaptive filtering algorithm adjusts filter parameters based on real-time changes in sensor data. When the underwater vehicle approaches the pipeline, the weight of visual sensor data increases, as it provides detailed and accurate positioning information; conversely, as the vehicle moves away, the weight of sonar data increases to leverage its long-range detection capability. This dynamic adjustment ensures effective localization accuracy across different scenarios. In terms of map construction, the particle filter SLAM algorithm is utilized to refine the underwater environmental map based on updated position estimates and sensor observations. The position, shape, and ancillary facilities of the oil pipeline are accurately labeled on the map, while surrounding features, such as reefs and seabed topography, are constructed in real-time based on sensor data. Each new sensor observation serves as an update, iteratively optimizing the map. Over time, the completeness and accuracy of the map improve, providing comprehensive and precise environmental information for monitoring and maintaining oil pipelines.

5. Experimental Validation and Result Analysis

5.1 Experimental Design and Scenario Setup

To validate the performance of the improved algorithm in oil pipeline localization and environmental reconstruction, a series of experiments were designed. The experiments took place in a simulated underwater environment that included modeled oil pipelines, reefs, and seabed scenarios. The experimental setup involved an underwater vehicle equipped with various sensors, including a high-resolution underwater camera for visual sensing, a high-precision multibeam sonar, and a high-performance IMU.

In the experimental scenario, varying complexities of pipeline layouts were established, including straight, curved, and branched pipelines. Additionally, different underwater environmental disturbances were simulated, such as varying levels of turbidity and changes in water flow velocity. The experiments were divided into multiple test groups, with each group conducted under different environmental conditions and pipeline layouts to comprehensively assess the performance of the improved algorithm.

5.2 Experimental Results Comparison and Analysis

Comparative experiments were conducted between the improved algorithm and traditional underwater SLAM algorithms. In terms of localization accuracy, the improved algorithm achieved an average positioning error of 0.3 meters in complex environments, while the traditional algorithm had an average error of 0.8 meters. Regarding map completeness, the improved algorithm accurately represented the entire structure of the oil pipeline and surrounding environmental details, with an accuracy rate of 98% for labeling ancillary facilities, while the traditional algorithm exhibited missing pipeline features and blurred environmental details.

When faced with increased turbidity, the improved algorithm maintained relatively stable localization accuracy and map construction quality through multi-source sensor fusion and adaptive filtering, while the traditional algorithm's performance significantly declined. In scenarios with increased water flow velocity, the improved algorithm adjusted position estimates in real-time based on IMU data and sensor fusion information, ensuring accurate localization, whereas the traditional algorithm experienced greater impacts from water flow disturbances, leading to notable increases in positioning errors.

In-depth analysis of the experimental results indicates that enhancements in the improved algorithm concerning multi-source sensor fusion strategies, data association, and filtering algorithm optimization effectively elevate its performance in complex underwater oil pipeline localization and environmental reconstruction scenarios, meeting the requirements of practical engineering applications.

6. Conclusion

This study addresses the needs of underwater oil pipeline localization and environmental reconstruction by improving traditional underwater SLAM algorithms. By adopting a multi-source sensor fusion strategy, the integration of visual, sonar, and IMU data has enhanced the accuracy and completeness of information. The introduction of deep learning-based target recognition technology and adaptive filtering algorithms has significantly improved algorithm performance in complex underwater environments. Experimental results demonstrate that the improved algorithm outperforms traditional approaches in localization accuracy, map completeness, and robustness, providing reliable technical support for monitoring and maintaining oil pipelines in marine oil engineering.

References

[1] Wang, W. J. Research on the Application of EKF-SLAM Algorithm in Underwater Vehicle Localization [D]. Harbin Engineering University, 2007. DOI: 10.7666/d.y1098277.

[2] Zhang, J. Research on Sonar-based Simultaneous Localization and Mapping Technology for Underwater Robots [D]. Ocean University of China, 2025-03-21. DOI: 10.7666/d.y1337440.

[3] Yuan, G. N., Wang, D. D., Wei, Y. H., et al. Particle Filter SLAM Algorithm for Oil Leak Detection and Localization of Underwater Oil Pipelines [J]. Journal of Inertial Technology, 2013, 21(2): 5. DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1005-6734.2013.02.015.

[4] Zhang, Z. Q. Research on Visual SLAM-based Localization Methods and Their Application in Oilfield Pipeline Inspection [D]. Northeast Petroleum University, 2023.

[5] Luo, J. Research on Data Association Algorithms in Simultaneous Localization and Mapping for Autonomous Underwater Robots [D]. Ocean University of China, 2012.

[6] Zhang, S. J. Research on Simultaneous Localization and Mapping Algorithms for Autonomous Underwater Robots in Large-scale Environments [D]. Ocean University of China, 2025-03-21.

[7] Liu, M. Y., Zhao, T., Zhou, L. R. Progress on the Application of SLAM Algorithms in AUVs [J]. Torpedo Technology, 2010, 18(1): 41-48. DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1673-1948.2010.01.009.

[8] Gong, Y. J., Yang, S. J. SLAM Algorithm for Dynamic Water Surface Environmental Perception of Unmanned Boats Based on Visual Semantics and Point-Line Fusion [J]. South China Fisheries Science, 2024, 20(3): 120-132. DOI: 10.12131/20240019.

[9] Hu, H. W., Wang, M., Cheng, W. P., et al. Image Filtering Dust Removal and Feature Enhancement Algorithm for Underwater Visual SLAM [J]. Robotics, 2023, 45(2): 10. DOI: 10.13973/j.cnki.robot.210406.